

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/619,917	07/20/2000	Toshio Nomura	49982(551)	3874
	7590 06/13/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			TRAN, NHAN T	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
				1
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/619,917		NOMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nhan T. Tran	2622	

	Nhan T. Tran	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	126/a) and the approprie	to outomaion for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of examples of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	·	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	•	سان کا استام می مامیدان	Ab = 1=== f==
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	etter torm for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	`
S. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	· ·
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 6-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_ /	<b>)</b>
13. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		/ /
		1 Sell In	1

DAVID OMETZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Each of independent claims 1 and 11 has been amended to recite a plurality of new limitations which were not previously claimed. Thus, they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.